## Solutions to ECE 6450 Homework \#1

1.) A.) Considering the phase diagram for SiGe , if one wishes to pull a solid crystal with a $85 \%$ Si composition, only one temperature can be used, $\sim 1317$ degrees C. At this temperature the tie line results in a solid with Si composition of $85 \%$ (See point A below). At this temperature, the phase diagram predicts that for compositions greater than $85 \%$ atomic Si, the material will be solid only, for compositions less than $\sim 59 \%$ atomic Si , the material would be liquid only and for intermediate Si percentages (between points a and B), the material would coexist as both solid and liquid (NOTE: in the 2 phase L+S region, the solid would still contain $85 \%$ atomic Si. Thus, the desired crystal composition would be possible). B.) From the discussion above, $59 \%<\mathrm{Si}<85 \%$. C.) Lower end of the range: At $59 \%$ atomic, the weight percent (top "x" scale) would be $\sim 36 \%$, leading to 36 Kgrams of Si and $64 \% \mathrm{Ge}$ or 64 Kgrams . For the upper end of the range: At $85 \%$ atomic, the weight percent (top "x" scale) would be $\sim 69 \%$, leading to 69 Kgrams of Si and $31 \% \mathrm{Ge}$ or 31 Kgrams.


Fhure 2-1 Phace diagram of Si-Ge. The dashed lines correspond to a heating process that remains in thermodynamic cquilibrium (rourtesy of A SMA Inestationol).
2.) This problem attempts to reinforce 2 concepts: A.) Whatever impurities exceed the solubility limit in the material tends to precipitate out of solid solution and B.) even for small concentrations in the few ppm range, the precipitates can posses a significant volume.
A.) 18 ppm is equivalent to,

$$
[O]=\frac{18}{1 \times 10^{6}} 5 \times 10^{22} \text { atoms } / \mathrm{cm}^{3}=9 \times 10^{17} \text { atoms } / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
$$

while the solubility of oxygen at the process temperature is,
$\left[O_{\text {Soluable }}\right]=2 \times 10^{21} e^{-1.032 /(8.63 e-5(273+1100))}$ atoms $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}=3.3 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{atoms} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}=6.6 \mathrm{ppm}$

B.) There are 2 oxygen atoms per $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ molecule. Thus, there are $0.5 \times 5.7 \mathrm{e} 17=2.84 \mathrm{e} 17$ $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ molecules. Using Avogadro's number, this number of molecules can be converted into the number of moles of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ present.
Number of Moles $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}=\frac{2.84 \times 10^{17}}{6.02 \times 10^{23}}=4.7 \times 10^{-7}$ moles
Using the molecular weight and density of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, the volume can be found,
$\underline{\text { Volume }=\frac{\left(4.7 \times 10^{-7} \text { moles }\right)(60.085 \mathrm{grams} / \text { moles })}{2.2 \mathrm{grams} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}}=1.29 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}$
C.) Taking the cube root of this volume, we obtain ( $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{LxWxD}=\left(\right.$ for a cube) $\mathrm{L}^{3}$ ), 0.0234 cm or 234 um ! This is thicker than the original wafer!!!!
D.) Applying the equation given in class and in chapter 2 of your book,
$L_{\text {Denuded Zone }}=\left(\sqrt{0.091 \frac{\mathrm{~cm}^{2}}{\sec \text { ond }}\left(6 \text { hours } \times 60 \frac{\text { minutes }}{\text { hour }} \times 60 \frac{\text { seconds }}{\text { minute }}\right)}\right)\left(e^{-1.2 /\left(8.63 \times 10^{-5}(273+1100)\right)}\right)$ $\mathrm{L}_{\text {denuded zone }}=17.8 \mathrm{um}$
E.) The oxygen concentration in the denuded zone is at the solubility limit, or from A, $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {denuded zone }}\right]=3.3 \mathrm{e} 17$ atoms $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.
3.) This problem is meant to reinforce the economic reasons why microelectronic fabrication requires exacting control, can afford expensive process monitoring and failure diagnostics.

The area of the 300 mm diameter wafer is,

$$
\text { Area }=\pi(15 \mathrm{~cm})^{2}=706.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
$$

Thus, $706.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}=353$ computer chips can be produced from one wafer. This results in a company revenue of
Revenue $=353 \times \$ 700=\$ 247,100$
Compare this to the average commercial costs for failure analysis of a few hundred dollars per analysis, or the cost of a process tool that may be a few million dollars (just a few wafers) and one quickly sees why such techniques/equipment are easily justified.

